

Small Chebyshev Systems Made by Products*

IDO EFRAT

School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel

Communicated by E. W. Cheney

Received January 28, 1986; revised January 8, 1987

We characterize the sets $\mathbf{F} = \{f_0, \dots, f_n\}$ of real continuous functions for which $\mathbf{F}^2 = \{f_i f_j: 0 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ has less than $3n$ elements and the Chebyshev systems of the form \mathbf{F}^2 of degree less than $3n$. This extends results of Granovsky and Passow and a number-theoretic result of Freiman. © 1989 Academic Press, Inc.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the theory of experimental designs the matrix $M(\xi) = \|m_{ij}\|_{i,j=0}^n$ plays an important role, where $m_{ij} = \int_X f_i(x) f_j(x) \xi(dx)$, f_0, \dots, f_n (the regression functions) are $n+1$ continuous functions on the compact space X , and ξ (the design) is a probability measure on X . Statistical considerations direct one's interest to those ξ for which $\det M(\xi)$ is maximal. Such measures are called (D -)optimal. It can be easily seen that if the spectrum of ξ concentrates at less than $n+1$ points, then $\det M(\xi) = 0$ [4, pp. 323–324]. Kiefer and Wolfowitz [5] considered the sets of continuous functions $\mathbf{F} = \{f_0, \dots, f_n\}$ for which there exists an optimal design ξ_0 whose spectrum concentrates at nearly $n+1$ points. The supporting hyperplane argument of [4, pp. 330–333] yields that if $X = [\alpha, \beta]$, if $1 \notin \mathbf{F}^2 = \{f_j f_i: 0 \leq i, j \leq n\}$, and if $\{1\} \cup \mathbf{F}^2$ is a Chebyshev system of minimal degree $2n+2$ then there exists such ξ_0 with exactly $n+1$ points in its spectrum. More generally, if $1 \notin \mathbf{F}^2$ and if $\{1\} \cup \mathbf{F}^2$ is a Chebyshev system of degree $2n+s$ then there exists an optimal design which concentrates at not more than $n + \lfloor (s+1)/2 \rfloor$ points. Granovsky and Passow [3] have characterized all sets \mathbf{F} for which $|\mathbf{F}^2|$ is minimal and all Chebyshev systems of the form \mathbf{F}^2 with minimal degree $2n+1$. A related result was obtained by Granovsky in [2]. Here we extend the results of [3] to all sets \mathbf{F} for which $|\mathbf{F}^2| < 3n$ and to Chebyshev systems of the form \mathbf{F}^2 with degree less than $3n$. This will be done by applying a number-theoretic result of Freiman. As a consequence

* The contents of this paper constitute the author's M.Sc. thesis written under the direction of Professor G. A. Freiman.

it will be possible to describe the Chebyshev systems of the form $\{1\} \cup \mathbf{F}^2$ with degree at most $3n$, when $1 \notin \mathbf{F}^2$.

II. THE MAIN RESULTS

For a subset \mathbf{K} of an abelian group we define $2\mathbf{K} = \{a+b: a, b \in \mathbf{K}\}$. Freiman has proved [1, pp. 11–14] that if $\mathbf{K} = \{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$ is a set of integers and if $|2\mathbf{K}| = 2n+b$ with $1 \leq b < n$, then \mathbf{K} is contained in an arithmetical progression of length $n+b$. Note that always $|2\mathbf{K}| \geq 2n+1$. We first generalize this to sets of real numbers:

PROPOSITION. *Let $\mathbf{K} = \{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$ be a set of real numbers and suppose that $a_0 = 0$, $1 = a_1 < \dots < a_n$. If some a_i is irrational then $|2\mathbf{K}| \geq 3n$.*

Proof. By induction on n . For $n=2$, $\mathbf{K} = \{0, 1, a_2\}$ with a_2 irrational and we have $2\mathbf{K} = \{0, 1, 2, a_2, 1+a_2, 2a_2\}$. Obviously, these are six distinct numbers. Suppose now that $n \geq 3$ and that the assertion is true for sets with n elements. Let \mathbf{K} be as above and let a_i be the first irrational in \mathbf{K} .

Case i. For some $1 \leq j \leq n$, $(a_j - 1)/(a_2 - 1)$ is irrational. In this case, let $\mathbf{K}' = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and let $\mathbf{K}'' = (\mathbf{K}' - 1)/(a_2 - 1)$. By the induction hypothesis $|2\mathbf{K}'| = |2\mathbf{K}''| \geq 3n - 3$. Also, $2\mathbf{K} \setminus 2\mathbf{K}'$ contains 0, 1, and a_i . Therefore $|2\mathbf{K}| \geq 3n$.

Case ii. $(a_j - 1)/(a_2 - 1)$ is rational for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then for all such j ,

$$\frac{a_n - a_j}{a_n - a_{n-1}} = \left[\frac{a_n - 1}{a_2 - 1} - \frac{a_j - 1}{a_2 - 1} \right] \cdot \left[\frac{a_n - 1}{a_2 - 1} - \frac{a_{n-1} - 1}{a_2 - 1} \right]^{-1}$$

is rational. In particular $(a_n - 1)/(a_n - a_{n-1})$ is rational. Now assume that $a_n/(a_n - a_{n-1})$ is rational too. Then so is $a_n - a_{n-1}$ and thus, is so $a_n - a_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. By taking $j = 1$ and then $j = i$ we get a contradiction. Hence $a_n/(a_n - a_{n-1})$ is irrational. Set $\mathbf{K}''' = (a_n - \mathbf{K})/(a_n - a_{n-1})$. Since $n \geq 3$, \mathbf{K}''' satisfies the requirements of Case i with $j = n$, so we obtain: $|2\mathbf{K}| = |2\mathbf{K}''| \geq 3n$. This completes the proof.

The inequality $|2\mathbf{K}| \geq 3n$ in the proposition cannot be improved, as can be seen by examining the set $\mathbf{K} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \sqrt{2}\}$ for which $|2\mathbf{K}| = 3n$.

From the proposition and Freiman's cited result we obtain:

COROLLARY. *Let $\mathbf{K} = \{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$ be a set of real numbers such that $|2\mathbf{K}| = 2n+b$, where $1 \leq b < n$. Then \mathbf{K} is contained in an arithmetical progression of length $n+b$.*

This result will be generalized further in the following theorem, where we consider the multiplicative structure of sets $F = \{f_0, \dots, f_n\}$ of real-valued functions defined and continuous on a closed interval $[\alpha, \beta]$. There, in addition to the requirement that $|F^2| = 2n + b$, where $1 \leq b < n$, one has to assume, as in [3], that the set

$$A = \{x \in [\alpha, \beta]: f_0(x), \dots, f_n(x) \text{ are nonzero, have distinct absolute values, and } |\{ |f_0(x)|, \dots, |f_n(x)| \}^2| = 2n + b\} \tag{1}$$

is large enough. Then F is contained in a short geometric progression:

THEOREM 1. *Let $F = \{f_0, \dots, f_n\}$, $1 \leq b < n$, and A be as above. If $|F^2| = 2n + b$ and if A has a discrete complement in $[\alpha, \beta]$, then there exists a set $S = \{s_0, \dots, s_n\}$ and real-valued functions w and u such that:*

- (i) $f_i(x) = w(x)u(x)^{s_i}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, whenever the term on the right is defined.
- (ii) $S \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, n + b - 1\}$, $|2S| = 2n + b$, $\min S = 0$.
- (iii) w is defined and continuous on $[\alpha, \beta]$.
- (iv) u is defined and continuous whenever $w(x) \neq 0$.
- (v) For $x \in A$, $w(x) \neq 0$ and $u(x) \neq 0, 1$.
- (vi) If $w(x_0) = 0$ then $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} w(x)u(x)^{\max S}$ exists and is finite.

Note that the converse of Theorem 1 also holds: if A' is a subset of $[\alpha, \beta]$ with discrete complement, if $1 \leq b < n$ and if $S = \{s_0, \dots, s_n\}$, w and u satisfy (ii)–(vi) (with A replaced by A'), then, for each $0 \leq i \leq n$, wu^{s_i} can be (uniquely) extended to a continuous function f_i on $[\alpha, \beta]$ such that $|F^2| = 2n + b$, with $F = \{f_0, \dots, f_n\}$.

We will use the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1. *Let $r \geq 2$, let $S = \{s_0, \dots, s_n\}$ be a set of integers, at least two of which are consecutive, and suppose that $0 = s_0 < \dots < s_n$. If whenever $s_j - s_i = r$, $j = i + 1$, and if such a pair i, j exists, then $|2S| \geq 3n$.*

Proof. For $n = 2$ the assertion is clear. Suppose it holds for sets with a smaller number of elements but fails for S . Denote $S' = \{s_0, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$. By considering, if necessary, $s_n - S$ instead of S , we may assume that S' also contains a gap of length r . Also, S' must contain at least two consecutive integers, for otherwise $s_n = s_{n-1} + 1$, and $0, s_1, \dots, s_n, s_n + s_1, \dots, 2s_n, s_{n-1} + s_1, \dots, 2s_{n-1}$ are $3n$ distinct elements of $2S$. By the induction hypothesis, $|2S'| \geq 3n - 3$. Also, $2S \setminus 2S'$ includes $s_{n-1} + s_n$ and $2s_n$. Since $|2S| < 3n$, $2S = 2S' \cup \{s_{n-1} + s_n, 2s_n\}$. We will show now that for all $0 \leq i \leq n$,

$$s_i \equiv s_n \pmod{s_n - s_{n-1}}. \tag{2}$$

For $i = n, n - 1$ this is clear. Suppose that $i \leq n - 2$ and that (2) is valid for $i + 1, \dots, n$. Consider $s_i + s_n$. It is an element of $2\mathbf{S}$ which is smaller than $s_{n-1} + s_n$ and $2s_n$. Hence, it belongs to $2\mathbf{S}'$, that is, there exist $k, l \leq n - 1$ with $s_i + s_n = s_k + s_l$. It can be easily seen that $i < k, l$ and obviously, $s_i - s_n = s_k + s_l - 2s_n$. By our assumption s_k, s_l, s_n are all congruent mod $s_n - s_{n-1}$, and therefore (2) holds also for i . Since \mathbf{S} contains two consecutive integers we must have $s_n = s_{n-1} + 1$. Now let i_1, \dots, i_m be a list of all $0 \leq i < n$ for which $s_{i+1} = s_i + r$. Then $2\mathbf{S}$ contains the following elements:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & s_0, \dots, s_{i_1-1} \\
 & s_{i_1} + \mathbf{S} \\
 & s_{i_1+1} + \mathbf{S} \\
 & s_{i_1+2} + s_n, \quad s_{i_1+3} + s_n, \dots, 2s_n \\
 & s_{i_2+1} + s_{n-1}, \quad s_{i_3+1} + s_{n-1}, \dots, s_{i_m+1} + s_{n-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The only elements of $2\mathbf{S}$ which appear in this list more than once are $s_{i_1} + s_{i_1+1}, \dots, s_{i_1} + s_{i_m+1}$ which appear twice. Hence,

$$|2\mathbf{S}| \geq i_1 + 2(n + 1) - m + (n - i_1 - 1) + (m - 1) = 3n$$

contrary to the assumption on \mathbf{S} .

LEMMA 2. Let $\mathbf{K} = \{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$ be a subset of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus G$ where G is an abelian group, with $a_i = (m_i, \alpha_i)$ and $m_0 < \dots < m_n$. If $|2\mathbf{K}| < 3n$ then $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$ belong to a translate of some cyclic subgroup of G .

Proof. We use induction on n . For $n = 1$ there is nothing to prove. For $n \geq 2$ suppose that $|2\mathbf{K}| < 3n$ but that $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$ do not belong to any translate of a cyclic subgroup of G . Set $\mathbf{K}' = \{a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$.

Case i. $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$ belong to a translate H of a cyclic subgroup of G . Then $\alpha_n \notin H$. $2\mathbf{K}$ contains $2\mathbf{K}'$, $\mathbf{K}' + \{a_n\}$, and $2a_n$. Since $m_i < m_n$ for all $0 \leq i < n$, $2a_n \notin 2\mathbf{K}' \cup (\mathbf{K}' + \{a_n\})$. Also, if for some $0 \leq i, j, k < n$, $a_i + a_j = a_k + a_n$ then $\alpha_n = \alpha_i + \alpha_j - \alpha_k \in H$ which is a contradiction. Thus, $2\mathbf{K}'$ and $\mathbf{K}' + \{a_n\}$ are disjoint. Therefore, $|2\mathbf{K}| \geq |2\mathbf{K}'| + |\mathbf{K}' \cup \{a_n\}| + 1 \geq 2n - 1 + n + 1 = 3n$, contrary to the assumption.

Case ii. $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$ do not all belong to any translate of a cyclic subgroup of G . By the induction hypothesis, $|\mathbf{K}'| \geq 3n - 3$. However, $2\mathbf{K} \setminus 2\mathbf{K}'$ contains $2a_n$ and $a_n + a_{n-1}$. Since $|2\mathbf{K}| < 3n$ we obtain $2\mathbf{K} = 2\mathbf{K}' \cup \{2a_n, a_n + a_{n-1}\}$. Consequently, for each $0 \leq i \leq n - 2$ there exist $0 \leq j, k \leq n - 1$ such that $a_i + a_n = a_j + a_k$, so $\alpha_i = \alpha_j + \alpha_k - \alpha_n$. An inductive argument yields that $\alpha_i \in \alpha_n + \langle \alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n \rangle$ (this clearly holds for $i = n - 1$ and $i = n$), and we get a contradiction.

LEMMA 3. If $\mathbf{K} = \{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$, $0 < |a_0| < \dots < |a_n|$, if $|\mathbf{K}^2| < 3n$, and if $\log |a_i| = q + ps_i$ where $p > 0$ and $\mathbf{S} = \{s_0, \dots, s_n\}$ is a set of integers, at least two of which are consecutive, then for all $0 \leq i, j \leq n$:

$$s_i \equiv s_j \pmod{2} \Rightarrow \text{sg } a_i = \text{sg } a_j.$$

Proof. The mapping $\theta(a_i) = (s_i, (1 - \text{sg} a_i)/2, (1 - (-1)^{s_i})/2)$ is an isomorphism of \mathbf{K} onto a subset of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ in the sense of [1, pp. 2-4], where \mathbf{K} is considered to be a subset of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. If $|\theta(\mathbf{K})| = |\mathbf{K}^2| < 3n$ then Lemma 2 yields that $\{(\text{sg } a_i, (-1)^{s_i}) : i = 0, \dots, n\}$ has at most two elements. Since \mathbf{S} contains at least two consecutive integers, the conclusion of the lemma follows.

Proof of Theorem 1. For each $x \in A$ and for each $0 \leq i \leq n$ set $g_i(x) = \log |f_i(x)|$. By the corollary we can find $p(x) > 0$ and $q(x)$ together with a set $\mathbf{S}_x = \{s_0(x), \dots, s_n(x)\}$ of $n + 1$ integers such that $\min \mathbf{S}_x = 0$, $\max \mathbf{S}_x \leq n + b - 1$, and $g_i(x) = q(x) + p(x) s_i(x)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Since $b < n$, \mathbf{S}_x must contain a pair of consecutive integers. Since $|\mathbf{F}^2| = |\{|f_0(x), \dots, f_n(x)|\}^2| = 2n + b$, we also have $|\{f_0(x), \dots, f_n(x)\}^2| = 2n + b$. Therefore by Lemma 3, $\text{sg } f_i(x) = \text{sg } f_j(x)$ whenever $s_i(x) \equiv s_j(x) \pmod{2}$. Hence there exist $\varepsilon_1(x) \in \{1, -1\}$ and $\varepsilon_2(x) \in \{0, 1\}$ such that for every $0 \leq i \leq n$, $\text{sg } f_i(x) = \varepsilon_1(x) (-1)^{\varepsilon_2(x) s_i(x)}$.

Now, for every $x, x' \in A$ and every i, j, k, l we must have

$$|f_i(x) f_j(x)| = |f_k(x) f_l(x)| \Leftrightarrow |f_i(x') f_j(x')| = |f_k(x') f_l(x')|$$

and thus: $s_i(x) + s_j(x) = s_k(x) + s_l(x) \Leftrightarrow s_i(x') + s_j(x') = s_k(x') + s_l(x')$.

Hence

$$s_i(x) - s_k(x) = s_j(x) - s_l(x) \Leftrightarrow s_i(x') - s_k(x') = s_j(x') - s_l(x'). \tag{3}$$

Now, as was previously observed, the set \mathbf{S}_x (and similarly $\mathbf{S}_{x'}$) contains at least one pair of consecutive integers. Let \mathcal{A} be the difference $s_i(x') - s_j(x')$ where i, j satisfy $s_i(x) - s_j(x) = 1$. According to (3), \mathcal{A} is well defined. We will prove now by induction on $r \geq 1$ that

$$s_i(x) - s_j(x) = r \Rightarrow s_i(x') - s_j(x') = ra. \tag{4}$$

The case $r = 1$ is clear. Suppose that $r \geq 2$ and that (4) is valid for $1, \dots, r - 1$. If $s_i(x) - s_j(x) = r$ then by Lemma 1 we may assume that there exists k for which $s_j(x) < s_k(x) < s_i(x)$. By the induction hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} s_i(x') - s_j(x') &= (s_i(x') - s_k(x')) + (s_k(x') - s_j(x')) \\ &= (s_i(x) - s_k(x)) a + (s_k(x) - s_j(x)) a = (s_i(x) - s_j(x)) a \end{aligned}$$

and (4) is thus proved. Knowing (4) and knowing that S_x contains a pair of consecutive integers we conclude that $a = 1$. Also $\min S_x = \min S_{x'} = 0$ so $s_i(x) = s_i(x')$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. Since x and x' were arbitrary distinct numbers in A , $s_i = s_i(x)$ is independent of the choice of x . Therefore $g_i(x) = q(x) + s_i p(x)$ on A . It follows that for $x \in A$,

$$f_i(x) = |f_i(x)| \cdot \operatorname{sgn} f_i(x) = e^{q(x) + s_i p(x)} \cdot \varepsilon_1(x) \cdot (-1)^{s_i \varepsilon_2(x)}. \tag{5}$$

Let i, j, k be such that $s_i = 0, s_j - s_k = 1$. Define $w(x) = f_i(x), u(x) = f_j(x)/f_k(x)$. For $x \in A$ (5) implies that $w(x)u(x)^{s_j} = f_i(x)$ for $l = 0, \dots, n$. If $f_i(\bar{x}) \neq 0$ while $f_k(\bar{x}) = 0$ then by (5), $e^{q(x)} > \delta > 0$ in a neighbourhood of \bar{x} , and $e^{s_k p(x)} \rightarrow_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, x \in A} 0$. Consequently, $p(x) \rightarrow_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, x \in A} -\infty$ and therefore $u(x) = f_j(x)/f_k(x) = e^{p(x)}(-1)^{\varepsilon_2(x)} \rightarrow_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}} 0$. Hence we may extend u continuously to $\{x \in [\alpha, \beta] : w(x) \neq 0\}$ and still have $w(x)u(x)^{s_j} = f_i(x)$. (i)–(vi) can now be easily verified.

Remarks. (1) The inequality $|2S| \geq 3n$ in Lemma 1 cannot be improved. To see this take $K = \{0, 1, \dots, r-2, r-1, 2r-1, 2r, \dots, 3r-3, 3r-2\}$. Also, the value $3n$ in Lemma 3 is the best possible as can be seen by examining $K = \{1, 2, 4, \dots, 2^{n-1}, -2^n\}$.

(2) There exist sets $F = \{f_0, \dots, f_n\}$ as in Theorem 1 such that for each representation $f_i(x) = w(x)u(x)^{s_i}$ as in (1), u is discontinuous. For example, consider $[-2, 2], b = 1$, and $f_i(x) = x^{n-i}(1+x)^i$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. Since $f_i(1/2) = 3^i/2^n$ either $u = f_0/f_1 = x/(1+x)$ or $u = f_1/f_0 = 1 + 1/x$.

(3) The case $b = 1$ of Theorem 1 was proved by Granovsky and Passow [3]. The minimal case $b = 1$ of the following theorem was also proved by them. Note, however, that an inaccuracy occurs in their proof in regard to the possibility that u is discontinuous. The example considered in the previous remark shows that this can actually happen even when F^2 is a Chebyshev system of degree $2n + 1$.

DEFINITION [6]. A set $T = \{t_0, \dots, t_m\}$ of natural numbers, with $t_0 < \dots < t_m$, has the alternating parity property (APP) if for each $1 \leq i \leq m-1, t_{i+1} - t_i$ is odd.

THEOREM 2. Let $F = \{f_0, \dots, f_n\}$ be a set of real functions defined and continuous on $[\alpha, \beta]$ and let $1 \leq b < n$. If F^2 is Chebyshev system of degree $2n + b$ then there exist a set $S = \{s_0, \dots, s_n\}$ and real valued functions w and u such that:

- (i) $f_i(x) = w(x)u(x)^{s_i}, i = 0, \dots, n$, whenever the term on the right is defined.
- (ii) $S \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, n + b - 1\}, |2S| = 2n + b, \min S = 0, |S| = n + 1$.
- (iii) w is continuous in $[\alpha, \beta]$ and vanishes at most once.

- (iv) u is defined and continuous whenever $w \neq 0$ and is injective.
- (v) $w(x) \neq 0$ and $|u(x)| \neq 0, 1$ on A .
- (vi) If $w(\bar{x}) = 0$ then $\lim_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}} |u(x)| = \infty$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}} w(x)u(x)^{\max S}$ exists, is finite, and is nonzero.
- (vii) If $w(\bar{x}) = 0$ and $\alpha < \bar{x} < \beta$ then $\lim_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}^-} u(x) = -\lim_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}^+} u(x)$ ($= \pm \infty$).
- (viii) If $2S$ does not have the APP, then u is one-signed and $w(x) \neq 0$ in (α, β) .

Conversely, if $S = \{s_0, \dots, s_n\}$, and w and u satisfy (ii)–(viii), then for each $0 \leq i \leq n$, wu^{s_i} can be (uniquely) extended to a continuous function f_i such that $F^2 = \{f_0, \dots, f_n\}^2$ is a Chebyshev system of degree $2n + b$ on $[\alpha, \beta]$.

Proof. Clearly if F^2 is a Chebyshev system of degree $2n + b$ then $[\alpha, \beta] \setminus A$ is finite. Let S, w, u be as in Theorem 1. At each point $x_0 \in [\alpha, \beta]$ at least one f_i does not vanish. For if $f_0(x_0) = \dots = f_n(x_0) = 0$ we could choose $2n + b - 1$ distinct points x_1, \dots, x_{2n+b-1} in A which are different from x_0 , and then the following system of $2n + b - 1$ linear equations in the $2n + b$ unknowns $\{a_g : g \in F^2\}$ would have a nontrivial solution

$$\sum_{g \in F^2} a_g g(x_i) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, 2n + b - 1).$$

This would give a nontrivial combination of the functions of F^2 with $2n + b$ solutions $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2n+b-1}$ in contradiction to F^2 being a Chebyshev system of degree $2n + b$.

Now suppose there were $x_1, x_2 \in [\alpha, \beta]$, $x_1 \neq x_2$, with $w(x_1), w(x_2) \neq 0$ and $u(x_1) = u(x_2)$. Then we could choose distinct x_3, \dots, x_{2n+b} (other than x_1, x_2) in A and get a nontrivial solution for the linear system

$$\sum_{i \in 2S} b_i w^2(x_i) u(x_i)^i = 0 \quad (i = 2, \dots, 2n + b).$$

But then, this would also hold for $i = 1$, in contradiction to the assumptions. Therefore, u is injective in $\{x \in [\alpha, \beta] : w(x) \neq 0\}$. Suppose $w(\bar{x}) = 0$. Since the functions f_0, \dots, f_n do not all vanish at \bar{x} and since $f_i(\bar{x}) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}} w(x)u(x)^{s_i}$ we must have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}} |u(x)| = \infty.$$

Since u is injective this implies that the one-sided limits of $u(x)$ as x approaches \bar{x} are ∞ and $-\infty$ (unless, of course, $\bar{x} = \alpha$ or $\bar{x} = \beta$). Again, since u is injective and continuous, there is at most one such point. Now F^2 is a Chebyshev system of degree $2n + b$ if and only if

$\det \|w^2(x_i)u(x_i)^{t_j}\|_{0 \leq i, j \leq m} \neq 0$ for all distinct x_0, \dots, x_m , where $\mathbf{T} = \{t_0, \dots, t_m\} = 2\mathbf{S}$, $m = 2n + b - 1$. Note that $w^2 \cdot u^{t_j}$ is meaningful even at \bar{x} . If u is continuous then always $w \neq 0$, so this is equivalent to $\det \|u(x_i)^{t_j}\|_{0 \leq i, j \leq m} \neq 0$ for all distinct x_0, \dots, x_m . On the other hand, if u has discontinuity at \bar{x} then the above condition is equivalent to the non-vanishing of $\det \|u(x_i)^{t_j}\|_{0 \leq i, j \leq m}$ and of $\det \|u(x_i)^{t_j}\|_{0 \leq i, j \leq m-1}$ for distinct $x_0, \dots, x_m (\neq \bar{x})$. But this depends only on the range of u . Moreover, since the determinant is a homogeneous function of its columns, we only need to know whether u is bounded, whether it vanishes, and whether it changes sign. Therefore our problem can be reduced to the vanishing properties of $D_{\mathbf{T}}$ and $D_{\mathbf{T} \setminus \{t_m\}}$ where in general, for $\mathbf{R} = \{0 = r_0 < \dots < r_m\}$, $D_{\mathbf{R}} = \det \|x^r\|_{0 \leq i, j \leq m}$, and this is equivalent to the problem of deciding whether $\{x^r: r \in \mathbf{R}\}$ is a Chebyshev system on \mathbb{R} or $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Passow has proved [6] that $\{x^r: r \in \mathbf{R}\}$ is a Chebyshev system on \mathbb{R} if and only if \mathbf{R} has the APP. His proof can also be used to show that \mathbf{R} has the APP if and only if $\{x^r: r \in \mathbf{R}\}$ is a Chebyshev system on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ too. Now, if \mathbf{T} does not have the APP then by the above discussion $\{x^t: t \in \mathbf{T}\}$ is not a Chebyshev system on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and therefore $D_{\mathbf{T}}$ vanishes for some distinct and nonzero x_0, \dots, x_m . We obtain that u must be one-signed in $[\alpha, \beta]$. The other requirements now follow easily.

The opposite direction follows from the remark after the statement of Theorem 1, from [6], and from the well-known fact that for distinct positive x_0, \dots, x_m and for $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m$, $\det \|x_i^{t_j}\|_{0 \leq i, j \leq m} \neq 0$ [4, pp. 9–10].

Remark. When b is even, since $\min 2\mathbf{S} = 0$ and $\max 2\mathbf{S}$ are even, $2\mathbf{S}$ does not have the APP.

III. CHEBYSHEV SYSTEMS OF THE FORM $\{1\} \cup \mathbf{F}^2$

As was mentioned in the introduction, Chebyshev systems of the form $\{1\} \cup \mathbf{F}^2$ are also of particular interest. So suppose $\mathbf{F} = \{f_0, \dots, f_n\}$, $1 \notin \mathbf{F}^2$, and suppose that $\{1\} \cup \mathbf{F}^2$ is a Chebyshev system on $[\alpha, \beta]$ with degree at most $3n$ so that $[\alpha, \beta] \setminus A$ is finite, where A is as before. Since $|\mathbf{F}^2| = 2n + b$ with $1 \leq b < n$, we obtain \mathbf{S}, w, u as in Theorem 1(a). An argument similar to the one used in the proof of Theorem 2 yields that f_0, \dots, f_n can all vanish at not more than a single point of $[\alpha, \beta]$. Also, the number of points x in $[\alpha, \beta]$ for which there exists $x' \neq x$ with $u(x) = u(x')$ and $w(x), w(x') \neq 0$ is finite. It can be easily seen that here u has at most two points x of discontinuity: at one of them f_0, \dots, f_n vanish while at the other the one-sided limits of u are ∞ and $-\infty$ (unless, of course, $x = \alpha$ or $x = \beta$).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to Professor G. A. Freiman and to Professor B. L. Granovsky for the fruitful discussions I had with them.

REFERENCES

1. G. A. FREIMAN, "Foundations of a Structural Theory of Set Addition," Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1973.
2. B. L. GRANOVSKY, Moment spaces of minimal dimension, *J. Approx. Theory* **49** (1987), 390–397.
3. B. L. GRANOVSKY AND E. PASSOW, Chebyshev systems of minimal degree, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **15** (1984), 166–169.
4. S. KARLIN AND W. J. STUDDEN, "Tchebycheff Systems: With Applications in Analysis and Statistics," Interscience, New York, 1966.
5. J. KIEFER AND J. WOLFOWITZ, Optimum designs in regression problems, *Ann. Math. Stat.* **30** (1959), 271–294.
6. E. PASSOW, Alternating parity of Tchebysheff systems, *J. Approx. Theory* **9** (1973), 295–298.